

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/528,469

**Applicant(s)**

DINGWALL, DAVID

**Examiner**

David C. Reese

**Art Unit**

3677

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David C. Reese. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Ajay Pathak. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 05 June 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Pathak scheduled the interview to discuss possible claim amendments to overcome the most recent 112 rejections (office action mailed 2/12/2007). The case is currently under a non-final rejection. More specifically, the examiner and Mr. Pathak discussed changing certain claim language regarding the retaining element and formation. Mr. Pathak stated that he would submit an amendment to the claims which resolve the 112 rejections. In addition, a new office action with a new time period will be mailed due to a error of the address in the file .